

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration****Correction of the October 1, 1998, Federal Register Notice Extending Pilot Programs**

AGENCY: Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA).

ACTION: Notice correction.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 1998, GIPSA published in the **Federal Register**, a Notice extending three pilot programs, timely service, open season, and barge until September 30, 2000. The Notice inadvertently omitted referencing an earlier **Federal Register** Notice that reduced from 6 months to 3 months the period for which grain facilities had to have been without official services to qualify for the "Open Season" pilot program. GIPSA is correcting the October 1, 1998, **Federal Register** Notice by adding reference to the omitted earlier **Federal Register** Notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 1, 1998.

ADDRESSES: USDA, GIPSA, Janet M. Hart, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, STOP 3604, Room 1647-S, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20250-3604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet M. Hart, at 202-720-8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The October 1, 1998, **Federal Register** (63 FR 52682) noted that the pilot program provisions would remain the same as announced in the September 27, 1995, and January 15, 1998, **Federal Registers**. A third **Federal Register** Notice that changed one of the "open season" pilot program's provisions was inadvertently omitted. That Notice, published on October 3, 1996 (61 FR 51674), reduced from 6 months to 3 months the period that facilities had to have been without official sample-lot or official weighing services to qualify.

GIPSA is publishing this notice to correct the omission.

Correction

In FR Doc. 98-26091, beginning on page 52683 (63 FR 52683) in the issue of Thursday, October 1, 1998, make the following correction:

1. On page 52683, in the second column, insert the following as the new second paragraph. "The three pilot program provisions will remain the same as announced in the September 27, 1995, October 3, 1996, and January 15, 1998, **Federal Registers**."

Authority: Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

Dated: November 19, 1998.

Neil E. Porter,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 98-31749 Filed 11-30-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-808]

Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China; Amendment of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

ACTION: Notice of Amendment to Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts from the People's Republic of China.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping order on chrome-plated lug nuts (lug nuts) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Review*, 63 FR 53872 (October 7, 1998) (final results). The review covered the period September 1, 1996 through August 31, 1997.

We gave interested parties the opportunity to provide comments concerning ministerial errors. We received comments from Jiangsu Rudong Grease Gun Factory (Rudong) alleging a ministerial error. After considering this comment, we have amended the final results of the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Gilgunn or Maureen Flannery, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0648 and (202) 482-3020, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated,

all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 353 (April 1, 1997).

Scope of Review

The products covered by this review are one-piece and two-piece chrome-plated and nickel-plated lug nuts from the PRC. The subject merchandise includes chrome-plated and nickel-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, which are more than $1\frac{1}{16}$ inches (17.45 millimeters) in height and which have a hexagonal (hx) size of at least $\frac{3}{4}$ inches (19.05 millimeters) but not over one inch (25.4 millimeters), plus or minus $\frac{1}{16}$ of an inch (1.59 millimeters). The term "unfinished" refers to unplated and/or unassembled chrome-plated lug nuts. The subject merchandise is used for securing wheels to cars, vans, trucks, utility vehicles, and trailers. Excluded from the order are zinc-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, stainless steel capped lug nuts, and chrome-plated lock nuts.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 7318.16.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Amendment of Final Results

Rudong alleges that the Department made a clerical error in calculating the Indian inflation factor it applied to certain surrogate Indian data. In the preliminary results, Rudong states, for certain inputs the Department used surrogate Indian data obtained from the period September through December 1996. This surrogate Indian data was adjusted upwards by an average inflation index for the period of September through December 1996. In the final results, the Department extended its use of surrogate Indian data to include newly available data for the months of January through May 1997. Rudong contends that the Department should have therefore extended its inflation index to include inflation data for the months of January through May 1997.

We agree with Rudong. The Indian inflation index should cover the same period as the surrogate Indian data. Therefore, the Indian inflation index applied to steel wire rod data should be extended to include the months of January through May 1997. This affects the calculations of steel wire rod value as this is the only value for which the data period was expanded in the final results. As defined by section 751(h)